

Dedicated to Prof. Dorin N. Poenaru's  
70th Anniversary

## HADRONIZATION OF THE QUARK-GLUON PLASMA

M. APOSTOL

*Institute of Atomic Physics, Magurele-Bucharest*

*E-mail: apoma@theory.nipne.ro*

(Received December 14, 2006)

*Abstract.* The quark-gluon plasma formed in atomic nuclei by high-energy nuclear collisions is analyzed through its various stages of development. The threshold energy for igniting the nuclear quark-gluon plasma is derived, the subsequent expansion and cooling of the plasma are described, and the condensation mechanism of the quarks into hadrons is presented. It is shown that the hadronization process is a phase transition of the first kind, dominated by hadrons with the simplest structure. The transition temperature is derived, and the phase transition is characterized. A few introductory notes are given, concerning the excitation of heavy atomic nuclei.

*Key words:* quark-gluon plasma, hadronization, phase transitions.

**The Generic Nucleus.** The nucleon in the atomic nuclei has a radius  $a = 1.5 \times 10^{-15}$  m (= 1.5 fm) and an average binding energy  $\varepsilon \simeq 8$  MeV (denoted usually by  $-q$ ). On the other hand, it has a rest energy  $E_b = Mc^2 \simeq 1$  GeV. It follows that the nucleon extends over the Compton wavelength  $\lambda = \hbar c/E_b \sim 10^{-16}$  m = 0.1 fm, and, consequently, it may move over distance  $a$  with energy of the order  $\varepsilon \simeq 8$  MeV. It has a momentum  $p \sim \hbar/a$  and a velocity  $v \sim \varepsilon/p = \varepsilon a/\hbar \simeq 2 \times 10^7$  m/s, such that  $v^2/c^2 \sim 10^{-3}$ , which indicates that the nucleon moves non-relativistically (as expected from the ratio of the two characteristic energies  $\varepsilon$  and  $E_b$ ).

**The Atomic Nucleus is Cold.** The nucleons may be brought into statistical equilibrium in time  $\tau_{eq} = \hbar/\varepsilon$ , providing energy  $\varepsilon$  is shared among a large number of energy levels. This is not the case for the atomic nucleus with mean-field nucleons, the “shell-model” included. Indeed, the momentum of free fermions is given by  $p = \hbar n/R$ , where  $R = aN^{1/3}$  is the radius of the

nucleus, and the Fermi momentum is  $p_F \sim \hbar n_F/R = \hbar n_F/aN^{1/3}$ , hence the Fermi number  $n_F \sim N^{1/3} \sim 6$  for  $N \sim 200$ . The energy levels are given by  $\varepsilon_n = (\hbar^2/MR^2)n^2 = (\hbar^2/Ma^2)n^2/N^{2/3}$ , and for  $n = n_F$  we get the Fermi energy  $\varepsilon_F \sim \hbar^2/Ma^2$  ( $\sim 15$  MeV).<sup>1</sup> We see that only a few energy levels are occupied ( $n_F \sim 6$ ), as a consequence of the spatial degeneracy. The energy separation is  $\delta\varepsilon \sim (\hbar^2/MR^2)n$ , and  $\delta\varepsilon_F \sim (\hbar^2/Ma^2)/N^{1/3} \sim \varepsilon_F/6$ , which is comparable with the Fermi energy. Consequently, we cannot have a statistical equilibrium. The free nucleons in a square potential well are purely a quantal ensemble, unable to sustain thermalization.

A self-consistent potential well of a mean field does not change the situation. The nucleons may accommodate to each other through mutually correlated motions over the entire volume of the nucleus, such as to produce a mean field acting as an external potential. It is usually a central-force field, like an oscillator potential, and it explains satisfactorily the nuclear shells and magic numbers. The energy separation is then reduced to somewhat extent ( $1-2$  MeV), but the degeneracy is still present, as indicated by the  $\sim 7$  nuclear shells. The equilibrium is still unattainable. Even if, ideally, we distribute all the nucleons uniformly over an energy of the order  $\varepsilon_F$ , and get an energy separation  $\delta\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon_F/N$ , this separation is still insufficient for a consistent statistical equilibrium, in the sense that we would have then large fluctuations ( $\sim 7\%$  for  $N \sim 200$ ).

The atomic nucleus is too small to have a statistics of quasi-independent particles. It is cold, and there is no nuclear temperature, as long as such a gas-like ground-state is maintained. In order to get a thermodynamics, the atomic nucleus must change its ground-state.<sup>2</sup>

**The Nuclear Solid.** In an excited nucleus the short-range strong interaction between the nucleons spoils any mean field, and the motion passes from a quantal, global one, over the entire nucleus, to a local movement, involving distinctly each nucleon. This is a liquid state, and one may think that the atomic nucleus under excitations is a nuclear liquid. However, the nuclear excitation energies are comparatively high (for instance, the lower threshold is precisely the binding energy per nucleon  $\varepsilon \simeq 8$  MeV), and they would lead to the vaporization of the nuclear liquid. Consequently, for stability, the nucleus adopts a rigid, solid state, similar with an amorphous, finite-size solid. The

---

<sup>1</sup> Actually, this value of the Fermi energy is changed to somewhat extent by specific numerical factors.

<sup>2</sup> The mean field may still work for special excitations, like the radiative capture of slow neutrons, where the neutron is gently accommodated. On the other hand, as it is well-known, one-particle nuclear models describe satisfactorily the nuclear shells, magic numbers, and even the mass formula.

thermodynamics of such a state is stable, it is attainable, and the nuclear solid can sustain high excitation energies and “hot” temperatures.<sup>3</sup>

**The Nuclear Quark-Gluon Plasma. Ignition Threshold Energy.**

According to the asymptotic freedom of the quantum chromodynamics, for energies  $E$  per nucleon higher than the binding energy  $E_b$ , quarks and gluons may be released in such nuclear collisions, and they may form a quark-gluon plasma. In the initial stage, this quark-gluon plasma may be viewed as consisting of radiation (gluons) and ultrarelativistic fermions (the quarks  $uud$  and  $udd$ , corresponding to the nucleon states,  $m_u \sim 4 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $m_d \sim 8 \text{ MeV}$ ). If the nuclear collision process is such that only a few nucleons are destroyed, i.e. the total energy  $E_{tot}$  given to the nucleus is slightly greater than the binding energy of a few nucleons only, then the number of released quarks and gluons is small, and they may be delocalized as wave packets over the entire volume of the nucleus. Consequently, their density is low, and such a rarefied plasma may attain equilibrium in a very long time only, of the order of  $\tau_{eq} \sim \hbar/(E - E_b)$ , where  $E = E_{tot}/N$  is the average energy imparted to each nucleon among those  $N$  destroyed nucleons. The characteristic scale energy of this ensemble of a few quarks and gluons is comparable with the spacing of their quantal energy levels, which indicates that equilibrium is not reached in fact for such an ensemble. It is a cold plasma, in non-equilibrium, and the original nucleons may in fact be quickly recovered, as the large delocalization may nullify in fact the asymptotic freedom. One may say that the quark-gluon plasma has not yet been ignited in this case.

In order to be fully developed, the hadronization process requires a quark-gluon plasma as dense as possible, and as hot as possible. It is desirable therefore, first, to unbind as many nucleons in the nucleus as possible. It is also worth noting in this case that if, conceivably, the nuclear collision process is such as to impart to each nucleon in the nucleus an energy slightly greater than its binding energy, then, again, the equilibrium cannot be reached, and the original nucleons are again quickly recovered. It is obvious, therefore, that there is a threshold energy  $\varepsilon_{thr}$  (leaving aside the nucleon binding energy) for igniting the quark-gluon plasma. It corresponds to the characteristic scale energy of a degenerate ideal gas of ultrarelativistic identical fermions (Fermi energy), with density  $n_q \sim N/V \sim 1/a^3$ , where  $V$  is the volume of the nucleus and  $N$  is of the order of the number of nucleons in nucleus. This threshold energy is then given by

$$\varepsilon_{thr} \sim \hbar c/a = 125 \text{ MeV}. \quad (1)$$

---

<sup>3</sup> The detailed, quantitative arguments for the nuclear solid are given in J. Theor. Phys., **125** (2006). Prof. D. Poenaru kindly informed me about a letter of Pauli to Heisenberg in 1933, where Pauli raised this issue of a rigid nucleus.

It corresponds to an average energy  $(3/4)\varepsilon_{thr}$  per quark, or, since we may take 3 quarks released per nucleon, to an average energy  $(9/4)\varepsilon_{thr}$  per nucleon (beside the binding energy  $E_b$ ).<sup>4</sup> The ensemble of quarks may then reach equilibrium in time  $\tau_{eq} \sim \hbar/\varepsilon_{thr} \sim 10^{-23}s$ . However, the spacing between their quantal levels is of the order of  $\delta\varepsilon_q \sim \hbar c/aN^{1/3} = \varepsilon_{thr}/N^{1/3}$ , and, again, one can see that a lot of quantal fluctuations are expected (because  $N$  is small, of the order of the number of nucleons in the nucleus). We assume that the nuclear quark-gluon plasma is fully developed at the scale of the entire nucleus, and its energy per quark is far above the ignition threshold energy given by equation (1) (in fact much far above, as it is shown below).

**Hot and Dense Quark-Gluon Plasma.** The energy of the quark-gluon plasma can be written as

$$E_p = E_q + E_g, \quad (2)$$

where  $E_q$  denotes the energy of the quarks and  $E_g$  stands for the energy of the gluons. For low temperatures, the energy of the quarks reads

$$E_q = \frac{3}{4}N_q\varepsilon_F + \frac{3\pi^2}{2}N_q\frac{T^2}{\varepsilon_F} + \dots, \quad (3)$$

where  $N_q$  is the number of quarks,  $\varepsilon_F = (6\pi^2/2g_q)^{1/3}\hbar c \cdot n_q^{1/3}$  is their Fermi energy,  $g_q$  denotes the statistical weight of their multiplicities, and  $T$  stands for temperature. Equation (3) corresponds to a degenerate gas of ultrarelativistic fermions with density  $n_q = N_q/V$  at temperature  $T \ll \varepsilon_F$ . The Fermi energy  $\varepsilon_F$  is comparable with the threshold energy  $\varepsilon_{thr}$ , for the threshold density ( $N_q \sim N$ ). The energy of the gluons

$$E_g = (\pi^2 g_g/15)VT^4/(\hbar c)^3 \quad (4)$$

is that of a black-body radiation in volume  $V$  at temperature  $T$ , where  $g_g$  stands for the statistical weight of the gluons multiplicities. The number of gluons is also given by  $N_g = 0.244g_gV(T/\hbar c)^3$ . It is easy to see that the quark-gluon plasma is dominated by the gluon energy, since the number of gluons increases appreciably with increasing temperature.

As long as the number of quarks is fixed, even for very high excitation energies (when the quarks may form a classical gas of ultrarelativistic fermions) the quark-gluon plasma is dominated by gluons, and the hadronization process is not expected to have a rich output. Actually, the strong interactions in the hot quark-gluon plasma lead to the production of a large number of quarks, of various species, antiquarks included, like, for instance, by pair production.

<sup>4</sup> The energy of a degenerate gas of  $N$  ultrarelativistic fermions is  $E = (3/4)N\varepsilon_F$ . If we take  $n_q = 1/r^3 = 3N/V = 3/a^3$ , then the threshold energy is  $\varepsilon_{thr} \sim \hbar c/r = \sqrt[3]{3}\hbar c/a \sim \sim 180 \text{ MeV}$ .

These quarks are in equilibrium with the gluons, so they have a vanishing chemical potential, their number is not fixed, and for sufficiently high energies they may be viewed as an ultrarelativistic gas of fermions. The energy of such a quark gas is given by

$$E_q = (7\pi^2 g_q/240)VT^4/(\hbar c)^3, \quad (5)$$

and one can see that, up to some immaterial numerical factors, it is the same as the energy of the gluons given by (4). Similarly, the number of these quarks is given by  $N_q = (1.8g_q/2\pi^2)V(T/\hbar c)^3$ , which is equal to the number of gluons, except for some immaterial numerical factors. Therefore, leaving aside such numerical factors, the energy of the hot and dense quark-gluon plasma can be represented as

$$E_p \simeq VT^4/(\hbar c)^3. \quad (6)$$

According to (6), for the nuclear volume  $V = Na^3$ , we get the temperature  $T = [10^6 E_p(\text{MeV})/N]^{1/4}$ , and for  $E_p/V \sim 10^3 \text{ GeV}/\text{fm}^3$  the temperature is  $T \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$ . The number of ultrarelativistic quarks in the quark-gluon plasma (or the number of gluons) is given by  $N_q = N[T(\text{MeV})/100]^3$ , and for  $T \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$  we get  $N_q \sim 10^3 N$ , where  $N$  is the number of nucleons in the nucleus.

We can see that for such temperatures (1 GeV) it is unlikely to have massive quarks in the quark-gluon plasma (temperature should be of the order of their rest energy  $mc^2$  at least). Beside  $u$  and  $d$  quarks, only the  $s$  quark is expected ( $m_s \sim 150 \text{ MeV}$ ), which may also be viewed as being in the ultrarelativistic limit. In general, if massive quarks are present in this process ( $m_c \sim 1.5 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_b \sim 4.7 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $m_t \sim 176 \text{ GeV}$ ), their number and their energy are much lower than the values given here, so the process may be viewed as being dominated by gluons and ultrarelativistic quarks in equilibrium.<sup>5</sup>

This hot and dense ultrarelativistic quark-gluon plasma, extended over the whole volume of the nucleus, reaches equilibrium very quickly (in time  $\sim \hbar/T \sim 10^{-24} \text{ s}$ ), expands, gets cold, and hadronizes. The thermalization condition  $T \gg \delta\varepsilon_q$  is much better fulfilled now.

**Hadronization. Classical statistics.** The quark-gluon plasma expands with light velocity. Its radius increases from the radius  $R_0$ , which may be taken

---

<sup>5</sup> Usually, the chemical potential  $\mu$  for relativistic particles of mass  $m$  includes the rest energy  $mc^2$ ,  $\mu = \mu_0 + mc^2$ , and it is this potential that vanishes at equilibrium with gluons. The relativistic energy  $\sqrt{m^2c^4 + c^2p^2}$  in the exponent of the statistical distributions makes the corresponding number and energy of particles much smaller in comparison with the ultrarelativistic limit (which formally corresponds to  $m \rightarrow 0$ ). For instance, in the limit  $T \ll mc^2$ , these quantities are exponentially small ( $\sim e^{-mc^2/T}$ ).

as the radius  $R_0 = aN^{1/3}$  of the original nucleus,<sup>6</sup> to  $R = R_0 + ct$  for time  $t$ , so we can write

$$R = R_0(1 + ct/aN^{1/3}). \quad (7)$$

Making use of equation (6), with  $V = R^3$  (and  $V_0 = R_0^3$ ), we get that plasma temperature decreases according to

$$T = T_0(1 + ct/aN^{1/3})^{-3/4}, \quad (8)$$

where  $T_0 = E_p^{1/4}(\hbar c/R_0)^{3/4}$  is the original plasma temperature. Similarly, the number of quarks (or gluons) increases in time during this expansion according to

$$N_q = N_{q0}(1 + ct/aN^{1/3})^{3/4}, \quad (9)$$

where  $N_{q0} = (R_0 T_0 / \hbar c)^3 = N(T_0 a / \hbar c)^3$  is their initial number. The expansion of the quark-gluon plasma is a non-equilibrium, irreversible, process, with increase of entropy.<sup>7</sup> However, the plasma is in equilibrium at any instant of time, since, for instance, the inequality  $T > \delta\varepsilon_q \sim \hbar c/R$  is satisfied for any  $t > 0$ , according to (7) and (8).

According to the ‘‘asymptotic freedom’’, the process of hadronization begins with the quarks in the outer shells of the plasma. Let  $N'_q \ll N_q$  be the number of these quarks at some moment. It is given by  $N'_q = N_q(\Delta R/R)$ , where  $\Delta R$  is the thickness of the outer shell of the plasma. Both  $\Delta R$  and  $R$  have the same time dependence, so we may take  $N'_q = N_q(\Delta R_0/R_0) = N_q/N_{q0}^{1/3}$ . We denote by  $f \ll 1$  the fraction  $1/N_{q0}^{1/3}$  and write  $N'_q = fN_q$ . These ‘‘surface’’ quarks are the first in time that begin to feel the effect of interaction. Consequently, they are gradually decoupled from the rest of the quark-gluon plasma, and can be viewed as a gas of ultrarelativistic fermions with a fixed number of particles, moving uniformly in the plasma volume and

---

<sup>6</sup> In the center-of-mass reference frame the plasma is at rest, so there is no Lorentz contraction anymore of the volume in the colliding-beam direction (in contrast with the nucleon-meson plasma). Similarly, a hydrodynamic regime loses its validity for high-energy radiation and ultrarelativistic quarks. At the same time, the adiabatic expansion would imply a fixed number of particles, which may hold in the later stages of expansion, close to the hadronization stage, as suggested below. In general, the picture of many-mesons ‘‘multiple’’ production in high-energy proton-proton (or proton-nucleus, nucleus-nucleus) collision plasma (Fermi-Landau theory of ‘‘pronged-stars’’ production) is different from the hadronization mechanism, at least in two respects: first, the mesons are massive (in contrast with quarks and gluons), and this may render plausible a hydrodynamic picture, at least in later stages of expansion, where interaction weakens; and this latter aspect is another great difference with respect to hadronization, where interaction does come into play precisely in later stages of expansion.

<sup>7</sup> The entropy of the quark-gluon plasma is  $S \sim (4/3)E_p/T \sim N_q$ . Similarly, its pressure  $p$  is given by  $pV \sim E_p/3$ .

in equilibrium with plasma at temperature  $T$ .<sup>8</sup> Their Fermi energy is given by  $\varepsilon_F = \hbar c/r'$ , where  $r'$  is given by  $N'_q r'^3 = R^3$ , whence  $r' = R/(fN_q)^{1/3} = \hbar c/Tf^{1/3}$ . We can see that  $\varepsilon_F = Tf^{1/3}$  and  $T/\varepsilon_F = 1/f^{1/3} = 1/N_{q0}^{1/9} \gg 1$ , i.e. this ultrarelativistic gas may be viewed as obeying approximately the classical statistics. In the limit of very hot and dense plasma this condition is much better fulfilled. The energy of such a classical gas is given by  $E'_q = 3N'_q T = 3fVT^4/(\hbar c)^3$ , and one can see that the time dependence of the temperature as given by (8) is maintained (up to some minor numerical factors), according to energy conservation  $VT^4/(\hbar c)^3 + E'_q = E_p$ .

A similar conclusion applies to the resulting hadrons, because the condition for classical statistics for relativistic particles with mass  $m$  reads  $\sqrt{m^2c^4 + (\hbar c/r)^2} - mc^2 \ll T$ , where  $r$  is the mean inter-particle distance, and, since  $\sqrt{m^2c^4 + (\hbar c/r)^2} - mc^2 < \hbar c/r$ , one can see that it is satisfied if the same condition is satisfied for a gas of ultrarelativistic particles with the same density.<sup>9</sup>

We note that, though very likely, the condition for the hadronizing gas of quarks (or the resulting hadronic gas) to be in the classical limit is not essential for the mechanism of quark condensation which is described below. In general, it is very likely that the hadronization of the quarks begins with those placed at some moment in the outer shells of the plasma, and their number is a fraction  $f$  of the total number of quarks at that moment. Fraction  $f$  may differ from the one given above, and may even have a time dependence, as depending on the particularities of the “asymptotic freedom” mechanism of interaction. Time (and space) evolution of this interaction may change the time dependence given by equations (7)–(9) of the plasma expansion. All these particularities do not affect essentially the condensation mechanism of quarks into hadrons given further herein.

### Hadronization. Transition temperature and the hadronic yield.

A classical gas of  $N$  relativistic particles enclosed in volume  $V$  is described by the usual distribution

$$dN = [gV/(2\pi\hbar)^3] e^{\mu/T} e^{-\varepsilon/T} d\mathbf{p},$$

where  $\varepsilon = \sqrt{m^2c^4 + c^2p^2}$ ,  $\mu$  is the chemical potential and  $g$  is the corresponding weight factor. For an ultrarelativistic classical gas of quarks the chemical

<sup>8</sup> Under the action of the attraction they do not rest on the surface, but move in the whole volume. For a density of energy  $10^3$  GeV/fm<sup>3</sup> and a nucleon cross-section  $(0.1 \text{ fm})^2$  (square of the Compton's length) we get a force 10 GeV/fm, which, for a confinement gradient 1 GeV/fm, means that quarks are liberated over a distance  $\sim 10$  fm; it corresponds to the nuclear radius.

<sup>9</sup> Temperature  $\sqrt{m^2c^4 + (\hbar c/r)^2} - mc^2 \ll mc^2$  is also a scale temperature for the Bose-Einstein condensation of relativistic bosons.

potential is given by

$$\mu_q = -T \ln(g_q T^3 / 3\pi^2 \hbar^3 c^3 n_q), \quad (10)$$

where  $n_q = N_q/V$  is the density of quarks. We introduce a scale temperature  $T_q = \hbar c n_q^{1/3}$  (Fermi temperature), and write approximately

$$\mu_q \simeq -3T \ln(T/T_q), \quad (11)$$

for  $T \gg T_q$ . The energy is given by  $E_q = 3N_q T$  and the thermodynamic potential  $\Omega_q = -p_q V = -N_q T$ , where  $p_q$  is the pressure of the quark gas. The number of quarks  $N_q$  in (10) is in fact number  $N'_q$  of “surface” quarks introduced above, and the Fermi temperature  $T_q = T f^{1/3}$ . Similarly, the quark pressure  $p_q$  is in fact a partial pressure in the quark-gluon plasma.

We label the hadron species by  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , and characterize each species by its number of quarks  $n_j = 2, 3, \dots$ , its mass  $m_j$  and momentum  $\mathbf{p}_j$ , the later two being related by energy  $\varepsilon_j = \sqrt{m_j^2 c^4 + c^2 p_j^2}$ . There may exist also a relationship between number of quarks  $n_j$  and mass  $m_j$ , but we let  $m_j$  to be an independent parameter, as, for instance, to account for resonances in hadron spectra. Other quantal numbers may be introduced similarly, according to the desired classification of the hadrons, and subjected to various conservation laws or selection rules. We impose the conservation of the number of quarks

$$N_q = \sum_{j\text{-states}} n_j p_j, \quad (12)$$

and the conservation of hadronic energy

$$E_h = \sum_{j\text{-states}} \varepsilon_j p_j, \quad (13)$$

where  $p_j$  is the probability of states. It follows then straightforwardly the hadron distribution

$$dN_j = \frac{g_j V_h}{(2\pi\hbar)^3 m_0} e^{\mu_h n_j/T} e^{-\varepsilon_j/T} dn_j dm_j d\mathbf{p}_j, \quad (14)$$

where  $g_j$  is the statistical weight of the multiplicity of the species  $j$ ,  $m_0$  is a scale of minimal mass,  $\mu_h$  is the chemical potential and  $V_h$  is the volume of the hadronic gas (it differs from the original volume of the quarks, as a result of the hadronic condensation). Allowing for  $m_j$  to extend continuously to infinite, and replacing the summation over mass spectrum by integration (with  $m_0$  the mean mass inter-spacing) we get straightforwardly from (14)

$$dN_j = \frac{g_j V_h}{(2\pi\hbar)^3 m_0} \cdot \frac{6\pi(\pi-1)}{c^5} T^4 e^{\mu_h n_j/T} dn_j. \quad (15)$$

In order to estimate the summation over  $j$  we introduce the mean hadronic weight  $g_h$  by

$$\sum_j g_j e^{\mu_h n_j/T} = g_h \sum_{n=s}^{\infty} e^{\mu_h n/T}, \quad (16)$$

and starts the summation with  $n = s \geq 2$ , as for the smallest composite hadrons. We get the number of hadrons<sup>10</sup>

$$N_h \simeq \frac{g_h V_h}{(2\pi\hbar)^3 m_0} \cdot \frac{6\pi(\pi-1)}{c^5} T^4 \cdot e^{\mu_h s/T}, \quad (17)$$

and, according to (12), the number of quarks

$$N_q \simeq \frac{s g_h V_h}{(2\pi\hbar)^3 m_0} \cdot \frac{6\pi(\pi-1)}{c^5} T^4 \cdot e^{\mu_h s/T}. \quad (18)$$

We can see that  $N_h = N_q/s$ , i.e. the hadronic condensate is dominated by the smallest composite hadrons (corresponding to the smallest  $s$ , as, for instance  $s = 2$ ), i.e. by hadrons with the simplest structure. The number of hadrons made of  $s+1$ ,  $s+2$ , etc quarks is smaller by exponential factors  $e^{\mu_h/T}$ ,  $e^{2\mu_h/T}$ , etc than this number.

Equation (17) or (18) determines the (large, negative) chemical potential of the hadronic gas. It is approximately given by

$$\mu_h \simeq -(1/s)T \ln[3g_h(\pi-1)T^4/4\pi^2\hbar^3 c^5 m_0 n_h], \quad (19)$$

where  $n_h = N_h/V_h$  is the density of hadrons. Similarly, the energy of the hadronic gas is given by<sup>11</sup>

$$E_h \simeq \frac{g_h V_h}{(2\pi\hbar)^3 m_0} \cdot \frac{24\pi(\pi-1)}{c^5} T^5 \cdot e^{\mu_h s/T} = 4N_h T. \quad (20)$$

It is easy to see that the thermodynamic potential  $\Omega_h = -p_h V_h$  is given by  $\Omega_h = -N_h T = -E_h/4$ , hence the equation of state  $p_h V_h = N_h T$ , where  $p_h$  is the pressure of the hadronic gas. For equilibrium this pressure equals the one of the quark gas, given by  $p_q V_q = N_q T$ . It follows that concentrations  $n_h$  and  $n_q$  must be equal at equilibrium, and, since  $N_h = N_q/s$ , it follows  $V_h = V_q/s$ , as expected for condensation.

Experimentally,  $\mu_h$ ,  $T$  and  $m_0$  are fit parameters for hadron distributions given by (14). By measuring the latter we may characterize the hadronic output, as well as the original gas of quarks that hadronizes. It is worth noting here that the mass spectrum is discrete, and it does not extend to infinite,

<sup>10</sup> We note that the summation over  $n_j$  does not necessarily follow the sequence of all natural integers, but must obey the sequence corresponding to the defined (observed) hadron species.

<sup>11</sup> The difference between the prefactor 4 in hadronic energy and the prefactor 3 in the energy of the quark gas comes from the additional degree of freedom of the mass.

in contrast to the estimations made above. A similar note applies also to the hadron structure defined by sets of integers  $n_j$ . It follows that the energy and the chemical potential above should be computed according to the empirical statistical ensemble analyzed. The experimental temperature  $T$  determined from the hadronic output is the transition temperature. Indeed, according to the above description the hadronization process is a phase transition of the first kind.<sup>12</sup> The critical temperature is given by

$$\mu_q = \mu_h, \quad (21)$$

where  $\mu_q$  is given by (11) and  $\mu_h$  is given by (19), for the same pressure, i.e. the same density  $n_q = n_h$ . Under these circumstances, equation (19) can also be written as  $\mu_h = -(1/s)T \ln(T^4/T_q^3 T_m)$ , where  $T_m = [4g_q m_0 c^2 / 3sg_h(\pi - 1)] \sim \sim m_0 c^2$ . By (21), we get then the critical temperature of hadronization

$$T_c = T_q (T_q/T_m)^{1/(3s-4)}. \quad (22)$$

It is the temperature below which the hadron distributions given by (14) are observed.<sup>13</sup> The latent heat  $Q$  involved in the hadronization process is given by the jump in heat functions  $W_q = E_q + p_q V_q = 4N_q T$  and  $W_h = 5N_h T = 5N_q T/s$  at equilibrium, which leads to  $Q = (5/s - 4)N_q T_c = (5/s - 4)E_q/3$ .<sup>14</sup> One can see that it is negative, which means that the energy (and temperature) of the quark-gluon plasma increases slightly in the hadronization process. The latent heat is released in the hadronization process.

The critical temperature of hadronization  $T_c$  must be much higher than the characteristic quark temperature  $T_q$  in order to use the classical statistics. A similar condition  $T_c^4 \gg T_q^3 T_m$  holds also for the hadronic gas. Both conditions are satisfied providing  $T_q \gg T_m$ . Making use of  $T_q = T_c f^{1/3}$  we get from (22)  $T_c = T_m / f^{s-1} \gg T_m$ .<sup>15</sup> It is worth noting that the experimental hadronic distributions are not continuous in mass spectrum, nor in the quark constituency, as it is assumed in the estimations given herein. Accordingly, the parameters like  $T_q$  or  $T_m$ , that might be derived from the analysis of the empirical distributions of hadrons, can be different from their expressions given here. In addition, it must also be noted that the mechanism of hadronization described above through the condensation of the quark gas is not restricted to classical statistics. Quantal statistics can be used, if necessary, both for

<sup>12</sup> For more details on the mechanism of matter condensation see J. Theor. Phys., **123** (2006).

<sup>13</sup> For a discrete mass spectrum equation (22) gives the scale temperature  $T_m$ .

<sup>14</sup> It corresponds to the extra degree of freedom due to the mass, originates in quark interaction, and accounts for the remanent entropy of the hadronic gas.

<sup>15</sup> Condition  $T_q \gg T_m$  implies  $f \gg f^{3(s-1)}$ , which is satisfied for  $f \ll 1$ . This condition is better fulfilled than the condition for the classical behaviour of the quark gas because the classical statistics is favoured for massive hadrons.

the hadronizing gas of quarks and for the resulting hadrons, which change the expressions given above for the chemical potential and for the critical temperature.

Functions  $\mu_q(T)$  and  $\mu_h(T)$  as given by (11) and (19) for the same  $n_q = n_h$  are such that  $\mu_q < \mu_h$  for  $T > T_c$  and  $\mu_q > \mu_h$  for  $T < T_c$ , which means that the phase diagram favours the quarks for  $T > T_c$ , and hadrons for  $T < T_c$ , as expected. The hadronization of the first  $N'_q$  “surface” quarks can be viewed as the first stage in the hadronization process. After this stage is completed the number of remaining quarks is diminished, as it is the radius of the remaining plasma. The temperature of the remaining plasma is increased to some extent, as due to the released latent heat, but it quickly reaches again the value of the critical temperature by expansion, and the first-stage process of hadronization is repeated. However, it is very likely that at some moment in its expansion the quark-gluon plasma ceases to sustain an equilibrium between quarks and gluons, as a result of its cooling (in any case the rate of this equilibrium slows down on cooling the plasma). Under this circumstance, the number of quarks in plasma becomes fixed, the temperature decreases at a higher rate, and the cool and more rarefied plasma favours the condensation of heavier, more complex hadrons. It may be said, therefore, that in the hadronization process there appear first hadrons with a simpler structure (which are, very likely, lighter) at higher  $T_c$ , and, gradually, more complex hadrons (which, likely, are heavier) at various slightly lower values of temperature, such that a temporal analysis of the hadronization might indicate a succession of “phase transitions”, or a cascade of hadronization processes, in the order indicated here. It is worth noting that this order corresponds to the energy (mass)-time uncertainty relationship. However, such a temporal series of hadronization occurs in a very rapid succession, which is beyond the observational means.

Let us include finally a numerical estimation, in order to get a feeling of relevant figures. Suppose that  $N \sim 50$ , which makes the fraction  $f = 1/N_{q0}^{1/3} = 1/10N^{1/3} \simeq 0.03$ , and the critical temperature  $T_c \simeq 30T_m$ . Then it is conceivable that the minimal mass parameter  $m_0$  may correspond to the lightest quark, say,  $m_0 \sim 4\text{MeV}$ , i.e.  $T_m \sim 4\text{MeV}$ , so that  $T_c \sim \sim 120\text{MeV}$  for  $s = 2$ . Making use of (8), this temperature is reached in time  $t \sim 10^{-22}\text{s}$  ( $ct/aN^{1/3} \sim 20$ ), for an initial temperature  $T_0 \sim 1\text{GeV}$ , a lapse of time during which the quark-gluon plasma expands its radius by a factor of 20. The energy of the condensed quarks  $E'_q = 3N'_q T = 3fE_p$  is the fraction  $3f \sim 10\%$  of the plasma energy, which represents the efficiency coefficient of hadronization in the first stage. The corresponding latent heat amounts to  $(5/s - 4)E'_q/3 \sim -0.5E'_q$  (for  $s = 2$ ), which indicates a rather high remanent entropy, as expected for this gas of light hadrons. The

number of hadronized quarks in the first stage of hadronization is given by  $N'_q = fN_{qo}(1 + ct/aN^{1/3})^{3/4} \sim 0.03 \cdot 10^3 N \cdot 10 \sim 300N$ , and the corresponding number of hadrons is  $N_h \sim N'_q/s \sim 150N$  for  $s = 2$ .

*Acknowledgements.* This paper originated in a provocative question asked to me by my friends C. Schiaua and M. Petrovici. I am deeply indebted to them for this challenge. In order to solve the problem I had to invent the theory of liquids and the theory of matter condensation (as quoted in the main text). I think this paper befits the distinguished scientific activity of Prof. D. Poenaru, celebrated in this journal issue at his 70th anniversary.

## REFERENCES

1. *ALICE Report*, vol. I (J. Phys., **G30** 1517 (2004)), for quark-gluon plasma; *Review of Particle Properties* (Phys. Lett., **B204** 1 (1988)), for particle data.
2. J.M. Blatt and V.F. Weisskopf, *Theoretical Nuclear Physics*, Dover, NY, 1991, for nuclear physics; any reasonable textbook for statistical physics.